AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New	York
MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL- SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON) Plaintiff(s)	
	ivil Action No. 1:13-CV-06790-NGG-B
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT THOMAS CLENT TAX ID #919291, POLICE OFFICER ANDREDEPUTY INSPECTOR GULOTTA, LIEUTENANT, HOPK DAVIS, SERGEANT GRILLO, POLICE OFFICER CUALLEN, POLICE OFFICER McEVOY, POLICE, OFFICER, DETECTIVE AASHEM, DETECTIVE AASHEM, DETECTIVE YEAGER, DETECTIVE AMENDED SUMMONS IN A CIVIL MAINOFI and DETECTIVE KEENAN, CORPORATION COUNSEL TO: (Defendant's name and address) 100 Church Street New York, New York 10007	CW KAMNA TAX ID #943423 CINS, POLICE OFFICER CLEN, POLICE OFFICER CER SHREFE, DETECTIVE DETECTIVE SCHIERENBECK, CCTIVE GRISOLLA,
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not co are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or empl P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be	oyee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
whose name and address are: Martin L. Ginsberg, PC and 80-59 Lefferts Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415	Cory Rosenbaum, Esq. 401 East Olive Street Long Beach, New York 11561
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered ag You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	ainst you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
Date:	
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL-SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON.

Plaintiffs.

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Civil Action No.: 1:13-CV-06790-NGG-B

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT THOMAS LENT TAX ID # 919291, POLICE OFFICER ANDREW KAMNA TAX ID # 943423, DEPUTY INSPECTOR GULOTTA, LIEUTENANT HOPKINS, POLICE OFFICER DAVIS, SERGEANT GRILLO, POLICE OFFICER CULLEN, POLICE OFFICER ALLEN, POLICE OFFICER McEVOY, POLICE OFFICER SHREFE, DETECTIVE GUT, DETECTIVE ARCE, DETECTIVE AASHEIM, DETECTIVE SCHIERENBECK, SERGEANT GLAUDINA, DETECTIVE YEAGER, DETECTIVE GRISOLLA, DETECTIVE MAINOFI and DETECTIVE KEENAN,

Defendants.	X
The plaintiffs, complaining of the defendants, i	
GINSBERG, PC, respectfully shows to this Court and	d alleges:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction is founded upon the existence of a Federal Question.
- 2. This is an action to redress the deprivation under color of statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage of a right, privilege, and immunity secured to plaintiffs by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States (42 U.S.C. § 1983) and arising under the law and statutes of the State of New York.
- 3. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331, 1343(3) and 1343(4), this being an action authorized by law to redress the deprivation under color of

law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom and usage of a right, privilege, and immunity secured to plaintiff by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

- 4. That venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2).
- 5. The matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum or value of ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND (\$150,000.00) DOLLARS.
- 6. That an award of attorneys' fees is appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

PARTIES

- 7. That the plaintiffs, MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL-SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, all African American, are residents of the United States and are residents of the County of Kings, State of New York.
- 8. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant, CITY OF NEW YORK (NYC), was and still is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 9. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant NYC its agents, servants and employees operated, maintained and controlled the NEW YORK CTY POLICE DEPARTMENT (NYPD), including all the police officers thereof, and that NYC is vicariously liable for the violations of New York State tort law by its servants, agents and employees via the principle of respondent superior as at all times relevant all defendant officers were acting for, upon, and in furtherance of the business of their employer and within the scope of their employment.

- 10. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants SERGEANT THOMAS LENT TAX ID # 919291, POLICE OFFICER ANDREW KAMNA TAX ID # 943423, DEPUTY INSPECTOR GULOTTA, LIEUTENANT HOPKINS, POLICE OFFICER DAVIS, SERGEANT GRILLO, POLICE OFFICER CULLEN, POLICE OFFICER ALLEN, POLICE OFFICER McEVOY, POLICE OFFICER SHREFE, DETECTIVE GUT, DETECTIVE ARCE, DETECTIVE AASHEIM, DETECTIVE SCHIERENBECK, SERGEANT GLAUDINA, DETECTIVE YEAGER, DETECTIVE GRISOLLA, DETECTIVE MAINOFI and DETECTIVE KEENAN, were employed by the defendant NYC as police officers in New York City, New York.
- 11. The NYPD is a local governmental agency, duly formed and operating under and by virtue of the Laws and Constitution of the State of New York and the defendant, NYC is responsible for the policies, practices and customs of the NYPD as well as the hiring, screening, training, supervising, controlling and disciplining of its police officers and civilian employees.
- 12. This action arises under the United States Constitution, particularly under provisions of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, and under federal law, particularly the Civil Rights Act, Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983 and the rights under the Constitution and laws of the State of New York.
- 13. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by the defendants, their agents, servants and employees, and each of them not as individuals, but under the color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances,

regulations, customs and usages of the State of New York, the City of New York, and under the authority of their office as police officers of said state and city.

PENDENT STATE CLAIMS

- 14. That Notice of the Plaintiffs' Claims, the nature of the claims and the date of, the time when, the place where and the manner in which the claims arose were duly served upon the Comptroller of the defendant NYC within ninety days on or about April 19, 2013.
- 15. 50H hearings of all plaintiffs were held on or about August 8, 2013.
- 16. That more than thirty days have elapsed since the Notice of Claim has been served upon the defendants and the said defendants have neglected or refused to make any adjustment or payment thereof.
- 17. That this action is commenced within one year and ninety days after the cause of action arose.
- 18. That New York CPLR Section 1601 does not apply pursuant to the exception provided by CPLR Section 1602 (1)(b).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

19. That plaintiffs were subject to the above conduct starting on March 1, 2013 at approximately 6:00 AM in apartment 5A at 295 Osborne Street, Brooklyn, New York, when unidentified police officers responded to the premises at 295 Osborne Street, Brooklyn, New York. Upon arrival of the officers, the police officers proceeded to break down the door to the subject apartment and accusing the plaintiffs of being in the possession of an unlicensed firearm. The following went through the apartment: Sergeant Thomas Lent, Police Officer Davis,

Sergeant Grillo, Lieutenant Hopkins, Police Officer Andrew Kamna, Police Officer Allen, Police Officer McEvov, Police Officer Shrefe, Detective Gut, Detective Arce, Detective Aasheim, Detective Schierenbeck, Detective Yeager, Detective Grisolla, Detective Mainofi and Detective Keenan searching for the firearm, but did not find a firearm. Michelle Bell was ordered to remain on the kitchen floor. Ultimately, a police officer pulled her up and she was immediately placed in handcuffs. She remained in the subject apartment in handcuffs for approximately three hours. When Michelle Bell asked Sergeant Lent why they were doing what they were doing, he replied that he was told that there were guns in the apartment. He did not produce a warrant. When the police officers entered the apartment, three or four police officers threw Joshua Bell-Simon to the ground, pointed rifles at him and one of them kept him pinned to the ground with his foot. The officers brought Larvelle Bell into Joshua Bell-Simon's room with a gun to Larvelle's back and lights shining in his face for approximately five minutes. Larvelle witnessed the police breaking property, including the bed, the dresser and shoeboxes. Larvelle was kicked to the ground by an unknown police officer. He was told that Joshua is a "bad boy and hangs with the wrong people." Larvelle was placed under arrest at the subject apartment, and was taken in a van for approximately twenty minutes before arriving at the 73rd precinct. At the 73rd precinct, he remained handcuffed for approximately thirty minutes. He was then placed in a cell, and was held at the precinct in the cell for approximately thirteen hours. He was never told that he was being charged with any crime, he was never informed of her legal or Miranda rights. Subsequently, he was

brought to Central Booking via a police van, was fingerprinted, photographed and placed in a cell. He spent approximately ten hours in the cell, and ultimately he was brought to a courtroom where he was told there were no charges filed against him. Ivanta Bell-Simon was sleeping in her bedroom when the police officers arrived. She was immediately taken from her bed and placed into handcuffs. She was held in handcuffs in the subject apartment for approximately two hours, was taken to the 73rd precinct, was never told that she was being charged with any crime, nor was she ever informed of her legal or Miranda rights. Subsequently, she was brought to Central Booking via a police van, was fingerprinted, photographed and placed in a cell. She spent approximately thirteen hours in the cell. Joshua Bell-Simon was placed under arrest at the subject apartment, and was taken in a van for approximately twenty minutes before arriving at the 73rd precinct. At the 73rd precinct, he remained handcuffed for approximately thirty minutes. He was then placed in a cell, and was held at the precinct in the cell for approximately thirteen hours. He was never told that he was being charged with any crime, he was never informed of his legal or Miranda rights. Subsequently, he was brought to Central Booking via a police van, was fingerprinted, photographed and placed in a cell. He spent approximately ten hours in the cell, and ultimately he was brought to a courtroom where he was told there were no charges filed against him.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO 42

U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT VIA USE OF EXCESSIVE FORCE AND UNREASONABLE FORCE

- 20. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 21. That the plaintiff's, LARVELLE BELL, rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, in that Plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, was unlawfully subjected to excessive and unreasonable force by Sgt. Kent and other unidentified police officers who put a gun to Larvelle's back and lights shining in his face for approximately five minutes, and was kicked to the ground by an unknown police officer.
- 22. That the said assault and battery of the plaintiff was effected by Sergeant Kent and other unidentified officers without any reasonable necessity to use any force much less the excessive force that was employed and used without legal justification, without plaintiffs' consent, with malice and with intent to inflict pain and suffering.
- 23. That defendants Sergeant Thomas Kent, Police Officer Andrew Kamna,
 Deputy Inspector Gulotta, Lieutenant Hopkins, Police Officer Davis, Sergeant
 Grillo, Police Officer Cullen, Police Officer Allen, Police Officer McEvoy, Police
 Officer Shrefe, Detective Gut, Detective Arce, Detective Aasheim, Detective
 Schierenbeck, Sergeant Glaudina, Detective Yeager, Detective Grisolla,
 Detective Mainofi and Detective Keenan knew of the use of excessive force

against plaintiff and allowed said use of excessive force to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene to protect the constitutional rights of plaintiffs from infringement by other law officers in their presence.

- 24. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' right to be free from the use of excessive and unreasonable force.
- 25. That by reason of the unlawful use of excessive and unreasonable force, the plaintiff was harmed physically causing them to be subjected to physical pain, humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety and was subjected to various ongoing physical and emotional harms, pecuniary harms and were otherwise harmed.

 26. That by reason of the aforesaid, the plaintiff has been damaged in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE
PLAINTIFF, VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS
PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA
BATTERY

U.S.C. Section 1988.

27. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.

- 28. That the defendant NYC is vicariously liable to the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, for the individual defendant's common law torts via the principle of respondent superior.
- 29. That New York CPLR Section 1601 does not apply pursuant to the exception provided by CPLR Section 1601(b).
- 30. That on March 1, 2013, defendants Sergeant Thomas Kent, Police Officer Andrew Kamna, Deputy Inspector Gulotta, Lieutenant Hopkins, Police Officer Davis, Sergeant Grillo, Police Officer Cullen, Police Officer Allen, Police Officer McEvoy, Police Officer Shrefe, Detective Gut, Detective Arce, Detective Aasheim, Detective Schierenbeck, Sergeant Glaudina, Detective Yeager, Detective Grisolla, Detective Mainofi and Detective Keenan committed a battery on plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL in that the touching of plaintiff was harmful, was not consented to, and unjustified and so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York law.
- 31. That defendant Sergeant Thomas Kent, Police Officer Andrew Kamna,
 Deputy Inspector Gulotta, Lieutenant Hopkins, Police Officer Davis, Sergeant
 Grillo, Police Officer Cullen, Police Officer Allen, Police Officer McEvoy, Police
 Officer Shrefe, Detective Gut, Detective Arce, Detective Aasheim, Detective
 Schierenbeck, Sergeant Glaudina, Detective Yeager, Detective Grisolla,
 Detective Mainofi and Detective Keenan in kicking plaintiff committed a battery
 against plaintiff.

- 32. That by reason of the acts of battery committed against the plaintiff, plaintiff was harmed physically requiring emergency and continuing medical treatment and was subjected to physical pain, humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety, infliction of emotional distress, was subjected to various ongoing physical and emotional harms, was pecuniarly harmed and was otherwise harmed.
- 33. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff has been damaged in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO

THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA ASSAULT

- 34. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 35. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 36. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.

37. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE

PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, MICHELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA

ASSAULT

- 38. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 39. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 40. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 41. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, IVANTA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA ASSAULT

- 42. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 43. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, by causing her to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 44. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 45. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA

ASSAULT

- 46. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 47. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 48. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 49. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00)

 DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE
PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL, RIGHTS
PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA
FALSE ARREST

50. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.

- 51. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.
- 52. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 53. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.
- 54. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.
- 55. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 56. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, MICHELLE BELL, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA FALSE ARREST

- 57. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 58. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.
- 59. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 60. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.
- 61. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.

- 62. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 63. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, RIGHTS PURSUANT

TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA FALSE ARREST

- 64. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 65. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.
- 66. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 67. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the

United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.

- 68. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.
- 69. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 70. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, RIGHTS PURSUANT

TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA FALSE ARREST

- 71. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 72. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.

- 73. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 74. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.
- 75. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.
- 76. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 77. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFVIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO

DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN,

SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

- 78. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 79. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.
- 80. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.
- 81. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.
- 82. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.

83. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFVIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, MICHELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO

DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN,

SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

84. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth

85. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.

herein.

- 86. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.
- 87. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as

representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.

- 88. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.
- 89. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF

PLAINTIFF-VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, IVANTA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO

PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN, SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL

DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

- 90. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 91. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.
- 92. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the

mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.

- 93. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.
- 94. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.
- 95. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF

PLAINTIFF-VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO

PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN, SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL

DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

96. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.

- 97. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.
- 98. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.
- 99. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.
- 100. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.
- 101. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, demands judgment against the

defendants in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3.000.000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages on the First Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages on the Second Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000,00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL on the Third Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000,00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL on the Fourth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON on the Fifth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON on the Sixth Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL on the Seventh Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL on the Eighth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON on the Ninth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON on the Tenth Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000,00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL on the Eleventh Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION

(\$1,000,000,00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages footplaintiffs boulevard Kew Gardens, N.Y. 11415

MARTHELLE BEEL on the Twelfth Cause of Action, in a sum not telephose of 10 NE5-2300 A Professional Corporation FAX (718) 805-2201 MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages of everizon.net plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON on the Thirteenth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON on the Fourteenth Cause of Action; along with other and further relief this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Kew Gardens, New York May 20, 2014

Yours, etc.

MARTIN L. GINSBERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 80-59 Lefferts Boulevard Kew Gardens, NY 11415 (718) 805-2300 and

CORY ROSENBAUM, ESQ. Co-Attorney for Plaintiff 401 East Olive Street Long Beach, New York 11561 INDEX No. Year 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL-SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON.

Plaintiffs.

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT THOMAS LENT TAX ID # 919291, POLICE OFFICER ANDREW KAMNA TAX ID # 943423, DEPUTY INSPECTOR GULOTTA, LIEUTENANT HOPKINS, POLICE OFFICER DAVIS, SERGEANT GRILLO, POLICE OFFICER CULLEN, POLICE OFFICER ALLEN, POLICE OFFICER McEVOY, POLICE OFFICER SHREFE, DETECTIVE GUT, DETECTIVE ARCE, DETECTIVE AASHEIM, DETECTIVE SCHIERENBECK, SERGEANT GLAUDINA, DETECTIVE YEAGER, DETECTIVE GRISOLLA, DETECTIVE MAINOFI and DETECTIVE KEENAN.

Defendants.

AMENDED SUMMONS AND AMENDED COMPLAINT

and

MARTIN L. GINSBERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiffs 80-59 Lefferts Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415 718-805-2300 CORY ROSENBAUM, ESQ.

Co-Attorney for Plaintiffs 401 East Olive Street Long Beach, New York 11561

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Tot the
Eastern	District of New York
MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL-SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON)))
Plaintiff(s)	—
V.	Civil Action No.
CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT THOMAS LEN and UNIDENTIFIED NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES AND AGENTS	T))
Defendant(s))
SUMMOI	NS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address) Corporation Counse 100 Church Street New York, New York	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
are the United States or a United States agency, or an P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff	vard 401 East Olive Street
If you fail to respond, judgment by default we You also must file your answer or motion with the co	vill be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT
11-05-2012	
Date: $11-25-2013$	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk
	Digitality of Cieff of Depair Cieff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK X
MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL- SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON,

Plaintiffs.

COMPLAINT

-against-

Civil Action No.:

CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT THOMAS LENT & UNIDENTIFIED NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES & AGENTS,

Defendant.	
	X

The plaintiffs, complaining of the defendants, by his attorney, MARTIN L. GINSBERG, PC, respectfully shows to this Court and alleges:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction is founded upon the existence of a Federal Question.
- 2. This is an action to redress the deprivation under color of statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage of a right, privilege, and immunity secured to plaintiffs by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States (42 U.S.C. § 1983) and arising under the law and statutes of the State of New York.
- 3. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331, 1343(3) and 1343(4), this being an action authorized by law to redress the deprivation under color of law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom and usage of a right, privilege, and immunity secured to plaintiff by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.
- 4. That venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2).

- 5. The matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum or value of ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND (\$150,000.00) DOLLARS.
- 6. That an award of attorneys' fees is appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

PARTIES

- 7. That the plaintiffs, MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL-SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, all African American, are residents of the United States and are residents of the County of Kings, State of New York.
- 8. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant, CITY OF NEW YORK (NYC), was and still is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 9. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, the defendant NYC its agents, servants and employees operated, maintained and controlled the NEW YORK CTY POLICE DEPARTMENT (NYPD), including all the police officers thereof, and that NYC is vicariously liable for the violations of New York State tort law by its servants, agents and employees via the principle of respondent superior as at all times relevant all defendant officers were acting for, upon, and in furtherance of the business of their employer and within the scope of their employment.
- 10. Upon information and belief, that at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendants SERGEANT THOMAS LENT and UNIDENTIFIED POLICE OFFICERS were employed by the defendant NYC as police officers in New York City, New York.

- 11. The NYPD is a local governmental agency, duly formed and operating under and by virtue of the Laws and Constitution of the State of New York and the defendant, NYC is responsible for the policies, practices and customs of the NYPD as well as the hiring, screening, training, supervising, controlling and disciplining of its police officers and civilian employees.
- 12. This action arises under the United States Constitution, particularly under provisions of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, and under federal law, particularly the Civil Rights Act, Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983 and the rights under the Constitution and laws of the State of New York.
- 13. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by the defendants, their agents, servants and employees, and each of them not as individuals, but under the color and pretense of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, customs and usages of the State of New York, the City of New York, and under the authority of their office as police officers of said state and city.

PENDENT STATE CLAIMS

- 14. That Notice of the Plaintiffs' Claims, the nature of the claims and the date of, the time when, the place where and the manner in which the claims arose were duly served upon the Comptroller of the defendant NYC within ninety days on or about April 19, 2013.
- 15. 50H hearings of all plaintiffs were held on or about August 8, 2013.

- 16. That more than thirty days have elapsed since the Notice of Claim has been served upon the defendants and the said defendants have neglected or refused to make any adjustment or payment thereof.
- 17. That this action is commenced within one year and ninety days after the cause of action arose.
- 18. That New York CPLR Section 1601 does not apply pursuant to the exception provided by CPLR Section 1602 (1)(b).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

19. That plaintiffs were subject to the above conduct starting on March 1, 2013 at approximately 6:00 AM in apartment 5A at 295 Osborne Street, Brooklyn, New York, when unidentified police officers responded to the premises at 295 Osborne Street, Brooklyn, New York. Upon arrival of the officers, the police officers proceeded to break down the door to the subject apartment and accusing the plaintiffs of being in the possession of an unlicensed firearm. Sergeant Thomas Lent went through the apartment searching for the firearm, but did not find a firearm. Michelle Bell was ordered to remain on the kitchen floor. Ultimately, a police officer pulled her up and she was immediately placed in handcuffs. She remained in the subject apartment in handcuffs for approximately three hours. When Michelle Bell asked Sergeant Lent why they were doing what they were doing, he replied that he was told that there were guns in the apartment. He did not produce a warrant. When the police officers entered the apartment, three or four police officers threw Joshua Bell-Simon to the ground, pointed rifles at him and one of them kept him pinned to the ground with his foot.

The officers brought Larvelle Bell into Joshua Bell-Simon's room with a gun to Larvelle's back and lights shining in his face for approximately five minutes. Larvelle witnessed the police breaking property, including the bed, the dresser and shoeboxes. Larvelle was kicked to the ground by an unknown police officer. He was told that Joshua is a "bad boy and hangs with the wrong people." Larvelle was placed under arrest at the subject apartment, and was taken in a van for approximately twenty minutes before arriving at the 73rd precinct. At the 73rd precinct, he remained handcuffed for approximately thirty minutes. He was then placed in a cell, and was held at the precinct in the cell for approximately thirteen hours. He was never told that he was being charged with any crime, he was never informed of her legal or Miranda rights. Subsequently, he was brought to Central Booking via a police van, was fingerprinted, photographed and placed in a cell. He spent approximately ten hours in the cell, and ultimately he was brought to a courtroom where he was told there were no charges filed against him. Ivanta Bell-Simon was sleeping in her bedroom when the police officers arrived. She was immediately taken from her bed and placed into handcuffs. /She was held in handcuffs in the subject apartment for approximately two hours, was taken to the 73rd precinct, was never told that she was being charged with any crime, nor was she ever informed of her legal or Miranda rights. Subsequently, she was brought to Central Booking via a police van, was fingerprinted, photographed and placed in a cell. She spent approximately thirteen hours in the cell. Joshua Bell-Simon was placed under arrest at the subject apartment, and was taken in a van for approximately twenty minutes

Lorselle

before arriving at the 73rd precinct. At the 73rd precinct, he remained handcuffed for approximately thirty minutes. He was then placed in a cell, and was held at the precinct in the cell for approximately thirteen hours. He was never told that he was being charged with any crime, he was never informed of his legal or Miranda rights. Subsequently, he was brought to Central Booking via a police van, was fingerprinted, photographed and placed in a cell. He spent approximately ten hours in the cell, and ultimately he was brought to a courtroom where he was told there were no charges filed against him.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO 42

U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT VIA USE OF

EXCESSIVE FORCE AND UNREASONABLE FORCE

- 20. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 21. That the plaintiff's, LARVELLE BELL, rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, in that Plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, was unlawfully subjected to excessive and unreasonable force by Sgt. Lent and other unidentified police officers who put a gun to Larvelle's back and lights shining in his face for approximately five minutes, and was kicked to the ground by an unknown police officer.

- 22. That the said assault and battery of the plaintiff was effected by Sergeant Kent and other unidentified officers without any reasonable necessity to use any force much less the excessive force that was employed and used without legal justification, without plaintiffs' consent, with malice and with intent to inflict pain and suffering.
- 23. That defendant SERGENT THOMAS KENT and other UNIDENTIFIED POLICE OFFICERS knew of the use of excessive force against plaintiff and allowed said use of excessive force to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene to protect the constitutional rights of plaintiffs from infringement by other law officers in their presence.
- 24. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' right to be free from the use of excessive and unreasonable force.
- 25. That by reason of the unlawful use of excessive and unreasonable force, the plaintiff was harmed physically causing them to be subjected to physical pain, humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety and was subjected to various ongoing physical and emotional harms, pecuniary harms and were otherwise harmed.
- 26. That by reason of the aforesaid, the plaintiff has been damaged in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA BATTERY

- 27. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 28. That the defendant NYC is vicariously liable to the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, for the individual defendant's common law torts via the principle of respondent superior.
- 29. That New York CPLR Section 1601 does not apply pursuant to the exception provided by CPLR Section 1601(b).
- 30. That on March 1, 2013, defendants Sergeant Kent and other unidentified officers committed a battery on plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL in that the touching of plaintiff was harmful, was not consented to, and unjustified and so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York law.
- 31. That defendant Sgt Kent and other unidentified officers in kicking plaintiff committed a battery against plaintiff.
- 32. That by reason of the acts of battery committed against the plaintiff, plaintiff was harmed physically requiring emergency and continuing medical treatment and was subjected to physical pain, humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety,

infliction of emotional distress, was subjected to various ongoing physical and emotional harms, was pecuniarly harmed and was otherwise harmed.

33. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff has been damaged in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO

THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA ASSAULT

- 34. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 35. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 36. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 37. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and

are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE
PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, MICHELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS
PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA
ASSAULT

- 38. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 39. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 40. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 41. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, IVANTA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA ASSAULT

- 42. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 43. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, by causing her to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 44. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 45. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO THE COMMON LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK VIA

ASSAULT

- 46. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 47. That on the aforementioned date, time and place, the defendants committed the tort of assault against the plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, by causing him to be in apprehension of imminent, harmful and offensive touching and in so doing defendants violated the laws and Constitution of the State of New York and otherwise violated plaintiff's rights under New York Law.
- 48. That by reason of the aforesaid, committed by defendants, plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, suffered and continues to suffer emotional injuries and that they were otherwise damaged.
- 49. That by reason of the aforesaid the plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00)

 DOLLARS and are entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE
PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL, RIGHTS
PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA
FALSE ARREST

50. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.

- 51. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.
- 52. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 53. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.
- 54. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.
- 55. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 56. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, MICHELLE BELL, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA FALSE ARREST

- 57. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 58. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.
- 59. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 60. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.
- 61. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.

- 62. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 63. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, RIGHTS PURSUANT
TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA FALSE ARREST

- 64. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 65. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.
- 66. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 67. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the

United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.

- 68. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.
- 69. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 70. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, RIGHTS PURSUANT

TO 42 U.S.C § 1983 AND THE FOURTH AMENDMEND VIA FALSE ARREST

- 71. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully and at length set forth herein.
- 72. That all of the plaintiffs' rights have been violated under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution made applicable to the states via the Fourteenth Amendment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, in that plaintiffs were subject to being falsely arrested by the defendants.

- 73. That the defendants confined the plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, in that plaintiff was not free to leave, defendants intended to confine plaintiff, plaintiff were conscious of confinement, plaintiff did not consent to confinement and confinement was not otherwise privileged.
- 74. As a direct result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs were deprived of rights, privileges and immunities under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution being more particularly plaintiffs' rights to be free from arrest without probable cause.
- 75. That the said false arrest was caused by the defendants, without any legal justification, without authority of the law and without any reasonable cause or belief that the plaintiffs were in fact guilty of crimes.
- 76. That various defendants who knew of the false arrest and allowed the illegal detention of the plaintiffs to continue, are liable to the plaintiffs via their failure to act pursuant to their affirmative duty to intervene.
- 77. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON has been damaged in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages and attorney's fees are appropriate pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1988.

AS AND FOR A ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFVIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, LARVELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO

DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN,
SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

- 78. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.
- 79. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.
- 80. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.
- 81. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.
- 82. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.

83. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFVIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, MICHELLE BELL'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO

DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN,

SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

84. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.

- 85. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.
- 86. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.
- 87. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as

representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.

88. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.

89. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF-VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, IVANTA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS PURSUANT TO DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN, SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL

DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

90. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth

herein.

91. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the

detendants.

92. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the

mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.

- 93. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.
- 94. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.
- 95. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION ON BEHALF OF

PLAINTIFF-VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON'S, RIGHTS

PURSUANT TO DEFENDANT CITY OF NEW YORK'S FAILURE TO

PROPERLY HIRE, TRAIN, SUPERVISE AND DISCIPLINE INDIVIDUAL

DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICERS

96. Plaintiffs repeat, reiterate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the prior paragraphs with the same force and effect as is more fully set forth herein.

- 97. That the defendant NYC was negligent, careless and reckless in hiring and retaining, properly training and supervising, as and for its employees, the above named individual defendants and unidentified individuals, in that the said defendants lacked the experience, deportment and ability to be employed by the defendants.
- 98. That the defendants failed to exercise due care and caution in their hiring practices, and in particular, in hiring the defendant employees who lacked the mental capacity, maturity and the ability to function as employees of the aforementioned defendants.
- 99. That the defendant NYC failed to train its employees in the proper use of force; to control their tempers and exercise the proper deportment and temperament; and to otherwise act as reasonably prudent police officers; failed to give them proper instruction as to their deportment, behavior and conduct as representatives of their employers and that said failure rose to a level of deliberate indifference to the constitutional right of plaintiff.
- 100. That the aforesaid occurrences and resulting injuries were caused wholly and solely by reason of the negligence of the defendants, their agents, servants and employees without any negligence on the part of the plaintiff.
- 101. That by reason of the aforesaid, plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON, has been damaged in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and is entitled to an award of punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL, demands judgment against the

defendants in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages on the First Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed THREE MILLION (\$3,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages on the Second Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL on the Third Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL on the Fourth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON on the Fifth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON on the Sixth Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL on the Seventh Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL on the Eighth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON on the Ninth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed TWO MILLION (\$2,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON on the Tenth Cause of Action; in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, LARVELLE BELL on the Eleventh Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION

(\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, MICHELLE BELL on the Twelfth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, IVANTA BELL-SIMON on the Thirteenth Cause of Action, in a sum not to exceed ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS and an award of punitive damages for plaintiff, JOSHUA BELL-SIMON on the Fourteenth Cause of Action; along with other and further relief this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Kew Gardens, New York November 25, 2013

Yours, etc.

MARTIN L. GINSBERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff

80 59 Lefferts Boulevard Kew Gardens, NY 11415

(748) 805-2300

CORY ROSENBAUM, ESQ. Co-Attorney for Plaintiff

401 East Olive Street

Long Beach, New York 11561

医环腺病 医环境医环境 网络一个女孩子 化高氯甲烷 化二甲烷

MARINAL CAMPRESPO Attorios es Patanis BOUS Labrana Brana Kew Gararna, MY 7 11 (1987) 1888-1789 (1987) 1888-1789 (1987) 1888-1789 (1987) 1888-1788 (1987) 1888-1788 (1987) 1888-1788 (1987) 1888-1788 (1987) 1888-1788

Lates Krei Cadeos, New Jack htps://www.hadeos.2013

which the Eight on the Treath Chase of Action in a sum not to expect on the Treath Chase of Action in a sum not to expect Only which the Eight on the Treath Chase of Action in a sum not to expect Only with the (31 000,000,00) (105,150,48) and she shearth chase of Action in a sum many on the Investment of a chase of Action in a sum many of the Charles of Action in a sum of the change of the Charles of Action in a sum of the change of the Charles of Action in a sum of the change of the Charles of t

NOTICE OF CERTIFICATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to Section 130-1-a, the annexed papers, the SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT, are being certified that to the best of the undersigned's knowledge, information and belief, the papers and/or contentions contained therein are in accordance with the Rules pertaining to this Certification.

Dated:

Kew Gardens, New York November 25, 2013

Yours, etc.

MARTÍN L. GINSBERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff(s) 80-59 Lefferts Boulevard

Kew Gardens, New York 11415

718-805-2300 and

- FWW

CORY ROSENBAUM, ESQ.
Co-Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
401 East Olive Street

Long Beach, New York 11561

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

The undersigned, an attorney, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of

the State of New York, hereby affirms as follows:

The deponent is the attorney of record for the plaintiff(s) in the within

action; the deponent has read the annexed SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof; that the same is true to deponent's own knowledge, except as to

those matters therein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those

matters deponent believes them to be true. Deponent further states that the reason

this Verification is made by deponent, and not by plaintiff(s), is that plaintiff(s) reside in

a county other than that in which deponent maintains his office.

The grounds of deponent's belief as to all matters not stated upon his

knowledge are based upon records in my file and conversations with plaintiff(s),

Affirmed the 25th day of November, 2013

MARTIN L. GINSBERG

and

CORY ROSENBAUM

INDEX No.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICHELLE BELL, LARVELLE BELL, IVANTA BELL-SIMON and JOSHUA BELL-SIMON,

Plaintiffs,

Year 20

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK, SERGEANT THOMAS LENT and UNIDENTIFIED NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES and AGENTS.

Defendants.

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

MARTIN L. GINSBERG, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiffs 80-59 Lefferts Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415 (718) 805-2300

and

CORY ROSENBAUM, ESQ. Co-Attorney for Plaintiffs 401 East Olive Street Long Beach, New York 11561